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#### Abstract

The RSA encryption algorithm is commonly used in public security due to the asymmetric nature of the cipher. The procedure is deceptively simple, though; given two random (large) prime numbers p and q , of which $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{pq}$, and message m , the encrypted text is defined as $\mathrm{c}=\operatorname{me}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$. E is some number that is coprime to the totient(n). The public key ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{e}$ ), however, makes it difficult for the user to find the private key ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{d}$ ), due to the fact that given only n , it is extremely difficult to find the prime factors p and q . The fastest methods currently have $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{sqrt}(\mathrm{n})$ ) complexity, but require expensive resources and technology (Kaliski). The aim of this paper is to improve on the factorization process required by the RSA encryption algorithm.


## 1 Introduction

My project aims to research and compare the various factorization methods available, including a proposal towards improving the current methods already available. There is an industry demand towards improving the speed of the RSA cryptosystem, as it is widely used by agencies that require secure transfer of information between clientele and administrators. Since the security of the algorithm is depended on the mathematical difficulty and computationally ?hard? problem of factoring, it is important to recognize potential exploits of factorization.


Figure 1: comparison of the various methods of integer factorization.

### 1.1 Background

The fieldofpublickeycryptographyisrapidlyadvancing, duetothegrowthofinternetconnectionsaro 1)multiplyingnumberstogetheriseasy, and2) factoringnumbersishard(Kaliski).Currentmethods $(a ? b)(a+b))$, andisbetterthanbruteforce.Therehavebeenothermethodssincedeveloped, includingLe

### 1.2 Scope of Study

The field of study will be in encryption and cryptanalysis in C.

## 2 Review of Literature

Rivest's original paper on the RC5 algorithm has been helpful in my research and understanding of the encryption mechanism in order to approach it from an angle of attack. Since I wanted to experience the thought process on breaking a cipher, I did not review other, widely available literature on RC5 cryptanalysis. After that, I studied two papers that detailed two different methods in breaking the RC5. The first paper, titled "On Differential and Linear Cryptanalysis of the RC5 Encryption Algorithm," offered the first
approach taken towards attacking the RC5. Authors Burton S. Kaliski Jr. and Yiqun Lisa Yin focused efforts on recovering the expanded $S$ table, rather than the input (thus, their results are key-length independent). Figure two explains the algorithm procedure that Yin et al. used. Refer to Appendix A for the version that I used for RC5.

In conclusion Yin et al suggest using a 12 round implementation of the RC5, which helps prevent against most common differential/linear cryptanalysis attacks. However, this paper had been published in 1995, and I expect that recent innovations would make it possible to use attacks against the RC5, so I continued looking for more recent papers. The most recent update was in 1998, also authored by Yin and Kaliski, which further expanded on their research.

There are several methods in dealing with block ciphers:

1. The exhaustive search - this is the most intuitive and brute-force type of attack. Simply put, the attacker finds one plaintext/ciphertext combination, and then essentially runs through all possible combinations until a match is found.
2. Statistical searches - the attacker analyzes patterns and matches between plaintext/ciphertext combinations
3. Differential Cryptanalysis - the attacker choosese two plaintexts, P1 and P2, with altercations ("difference") P' between the two. After P1 and P2 are encrypted, their ciphertexts C1 and C2 are compared, and the difference between the two is identified as $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$. The goal of differential cryptanalysis is to find a a pair of $\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right)$ that occur with more than normal probaility.
4. Linear Cryptanalysis - the idea here is to find items (plaintexts, ciphertexts, etc.) that occur over several iterations with probaility != 1/2 (Kaliski).

This research has led me since to Rivest's RSA Encryption Algorithm; The first paper I encountered was published by B. Kaliski, which described the mathematical properties that functioned as the backbone of the RSA encryption algorithm. He details several mathematical properties that are mentioned in my literature review of the paper. In summary, the core aspect of the security of the RSA is that factorization is difficult, or expensive. In
terms of time spent/resources required, factoring large numbers has gained notoriety in the mathematic community since the advent of these usage. The RSA exploits this inherent property in order to increase security, but is considerably slower than other competing asymmetric ciphers (ex. DES).

## 3 Development

For the first part of the quarter, I refined the code implementation of the RSA cryptosystem in C and reading the mathematical concepts behind the code. In addition, I read several papers outlining the core concepts and foundations that the algorithm rests upon. When choosing large prime numbers, it?s often expensive for computer systems to process $200+$ digits, which increases the difficulty (ie. memory and time spent) of the problem. Upon further examination, I found that the fastest method available was the Quadratic Sieve, explained in Appendix B. The QS lends itself to parallel implementation, and reigns as champion in terms of speed for factorization fewer than 110 digits. Numbers that exceed this bound are better approximated by General Number Field Sieve (Gerver). As of now, I have tested code implementations of several various prominent prime factorization techniques in order to determine the fastest on several conditions. Although many authors (Gerver, Pearson, Pomerance) agree that the QS is the fastest algorithm up to 110 digits, it is not as successful for ?medium large? numbers, as shown in Figure A.

### 3.1 Overview

The second part of my development during this quarter was the implementation and testing of the RSA algorithm in C. The code is included in Appendix B , and the results are as follows:

## 4 Results

(message modification generated from CrypTool version 1.4.21)
Original Text:
000004465617220 4D 72205368 6F 706168 6F 6C 6963 Dear Mr Shopaholic

00012 2C 0D 0A 0D 0A 70 6C 65617365206 F 7264657220 ,....please order
00024612050 6F 72736368652061 6E 642061207072 a Porsche and a pr
00036657061696420696 E 73757261 6E 6365207363 epaid insurance sc
000486865 6D 652066 6F 7220 4D 72 2E 2044 6F 646779 heme for Mr. Dodgy
0005A 2E 0D 0A 0D 0A 52656761726473 0D 0A 48 6F 6E 65 .....Regards..Hone
0006C 737420 4A 6F 68 6E 0D 0A st John..
Name: $\quad$ SHA-1
Length in bit: 160
Algorithm ID: $\quad 302130090605$ 2B 0E 0302 1A 05000414
SHA-1 HASH - 2C 6B 7015 B2 59 7A A6 4344438008 B2 9B A9 8F EE 2488

RSA KEY
Bit length of N: 304
RSA modulus N: 642950776111283768964376349927412243443720271264342437889420595499271
$\operatorname{phi}(\mathrm{N})=(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{q}-1)$ :
64295077611128376896437634992741224344372027075708918345263678552469089545591389733556
Public key: 65537
Private key:
25879119463874688407329117498108255748358785147781032958934808986306698706427747223423
ENCRYPTED HASH VALUE
Padding string: 0100
Algorithm ID: $\quad 302130090605$ 2B 0E 0302 1A 05000414
Hash value: $\quad 2 \mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{~B} 7015 \mathrm{~B} 259$ 7A A6 4344438008 B2 9B A9 8F EE 2488

ASN-1 hash value: 0100302130090605 2B 0E 0302 1A 050004 14 2C 6B 7015 B2 597 A A6
4344438008 B2 9B A9 8F EE 2488
Length in bit: 296

Encrypted hash value: 1545 EF 40 D3 49 DB 6948 6D 1B 2E F5 A4
EC D6 51 EC AC 9910 F3 78 E2 CF
45 0C E4 74 3C 03 FD 30 BA 07 5D B8 02
Length in bit: 304
Result from program:
Enter N: 642950776111283768964376349927412243443720271264342437889420595499271490891

Enter Message (in hexadecimal - post message modification):
2C6B7015B2597AA64344438008B29BA98FEE2488
Public Key $(304,65537)$
Private Key

## Appendix A. A Simple Example of the RSA Encryption Algorithm

The algorithm ?
$c=M^{e}(\bmod n)$
$M=c^{d}(\bmod n)$
Public key: (n, e)
Private key: (n, d)
Where C represents the ciphertext, and $M$ represents the plaintext message in numeric format.
Choose two large primes (100 digits or more) p and q. For simplicity, small primes will be chosen so the math is easier to follow: $\mathrm{p}=11$ and $\mathrm{q}=7$. Multiply p* q , and set N equal to the result. This N is part of the public key. $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{p}^{*} \mathrm{q}=11 * 7=77$
Choose a number e that is coprime (shares no common factors) with $\mathrm{k}=(\mathrm{p}-$

1) $(q-1)$.
$\mathrm{k}=(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{q}-1)=(10)(6)=60$.
We choose e to be 13 , which is also part of the public key. This information is sufficient to encode the message.
For the decryption, one must find $d$ such that $e d=1(\bmod k)$. Since the number k is not released to the public, it is extremely difficult for someone to find d . Since we do know what k is, we find that:
$13 \mathrm{~d}=1(\bmod 60)$, or the inverse modular function
$\mathrm{d}=37$
Suppose a friend passes the message $\mathrm{m}=53$ (note that $1 ; \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{N}$ ). In order to encrypt the message,
$C=m^{e}(\bmod N)$
$C=53^{13}(\bmod 77)$
The subsequent decryption:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad M=c^{d}(\bmod N) \\
& \mathrm{M}=53
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix B. Comparison table of various integer factorization methods

$1.55 \mathrm{E}+45$ Time Success? Resultant<br>Brute Force 2.6 no $2 * 3 * 607 * 7669$ * 55330323753934231552903581534602334349<br>Brent (p-1) 0.748 yes $2 * 3 * 607 * 7669 * 20947 * 413551 * 51083807 *$ $370770947^{*}$<br>337227786373 Pollard 3.099 no $2 * 3 * 4655083$ * 55330323753934231552903581534602334349<br>Williams (p+1) 0.483 yes $2 * 3 * 607 * 7669 * 20947 * 413551 * 51083807 *$<br>370770947 *<br>337227786373 Lenstra (Elliptic Curve) 1.044 yes $2 * 3 * 607 * 7669 * 20947$<br>* 413551 * 51083807 *<br>370770947 * 337227786373

## Appendix C. Code

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <math.h>
int phi ,M,n,e,d,C,FLAG,m,D;
int gcd(int a,int b) //greatest common divisor
{
    int c;
    if (a<b)
    {
        c = a;
        a}=\textrm{b}
        b}=\textrm{c}
    }
    while (1)
    {
        c = a%b;
        if (c==0)
            return b;
        a = b;
        b}=\textrm{c}
    }
}
// int privatekey(int val)
// {
/// int d;
/// int d
}
int totient(int X) // calculates how many numbers between 1 and
    N-1 which are relatively prime to
N.
{ int i ;
{ int i ;
phi = 1;
                for (i = 2 ; i < X ; ++i)
                    if (gcd(i, X)=1)
++phi;
```

        return phi;
    int encrypt(int message)
{
int result;
result=message ;
int x;
for ( }\textrm{x}=0;\textrm{x}<\textrm{e};\textrm{x}++
{
result=result*message;
}
result=result%n;
return result;
}
int decrypt(int ciphertext)
{
int x;
int plainres=plainres;
for ( }x=0;x<d;x++
{
plainres=plainres*ciphertext;
}
plainres=plainres%n;
return plainres;
}
}

```
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